Advances in Single-optic Accommodating Intraocular Lenses
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Abstract
This article reviews the evolution of single-optic accommodating intraocular lenses (IOLs) from the development of the first commercially available model, the Crystalens AT-45, through to newer models of these presbyopia correcting IOLs, including the Crystalens AO, the Lenstec TetraFlex, the Human Optics 1-CU, and the Tekia Tek-Clear. Current clinical data for all lenses are reviewed, as well as the importance of a thorough pre-operative work-up in order to ensure success with these lenses.
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The ‘baby boomers’, those born between 1946 and 1964, are now in their 60s, 50s, and late 40s. Unlike previous generations, baby boomers view the world with a future-forward perspective. According to a report published in January 2010, baby boomers feel younger than they actually are and fully expect that medical breakthroughs will allow them to live past 100. However, they are not completely satisfied with their lives: virtually all members of this generation want to make substantial life changes that include taking better care of their physical health. Hand-in-hand with this fact is that baby boomers promise to wield considerable buying power.1

These are certainly interesting facts, but how do they relate to advancements in single-optic accommodating intraocular lenses (IOLs)? Quite simply, for this generation, the handicap of presbyopia is not an acceptable situation. Furthermore, after cataract surgery, this population increasingly expects that they can reduce or eliminate the need for glasses. For a growing number of baby boomers, accommodating IOLs represent an effective choice. Clinical studies have demonstrated that accommodating IOLs can reduce or eliminate the need for glasses following implantation, while providing a good range of near, intermediate, and distance vision. Finally, because the optic is a either a spherical or aspheric monofocal, patients do not experience the side effects seen with multifocal IOLs, such as glare, halos, and loss of contrast sensitivity.2

Although the use of accommodating IOLs is on the rise today, the story of these IOLs actually dates back approximately 20 years to when ophthalmologist Stuart Cumming began to notice that patients implanted with a 10.5mm plate haptic IOL could read even though they were close to emmetropia. When Cumming refracted these patients, even if they were best-corrected for distance, the patients were able to read at J3 or better under dim light. Slit-lamp examinations in these patients found that the plate haptic lenses had a tendency to vault more posteriorly than was observed with a three-piece IOL. To confirm these observations, an ultrasound study was performed and found that the plate haptic lenses consistently moved to the posterior part of the capsular bag and compressed the vitreous 50% of the time when the patients accommodated to read.

The ultrasound study found that the most anterior location was only 0.77mm in front of the original location of the posterior capsule. By comparison, when three-piece IOLs were looked at, this lens design reduced the vitreous cavity only 20% of the time and lengthened it by as much as 2.17mm. As a result of this work, Cumming began to develop a new lens that was designed to increase accommodation. The first prototype was implanted in 1991, with the design being modified as Cumming and his colleagues worked to achieve the ideal optic/haptic combination. Thirteen years later, in 2003, the Crystalens AT-45 was approved for use in the US by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and a new era in IOL surgery and presbyopia correction had begun.

The main concept of the Crystalens IOL was to make the optic smaller than standard IOLs while the haptics were designed to be longer. The optic was 4.5mm for the original AT-45 model. The reason for reducing the optic size was to create a greater range of travel for a given angle of deflection. With this design, the Crystalens is intended to have two mechanisms of action: forward axial movement of the lens and optic arching. When implanted in a posterior vaulted position within the capsular bag, the accommodative process causes the ciliary muscles to contract, displacing the vitreous mass, and causing forward motion of the Crystalens.3

Available Single-optic Accommodating Intraocular Lenses
Since the commercial introduction of the Crystalens, other manufacturers have introduced single-optic accommodating IOLs. Here, we will briefly...
The benefits of an aspheric optic include better vision quality relative to standard spherical IOLs as well as more predictable and repeatable optic performance.

The Tetraflex (LensTec) is a one-piece hydrophilic acrylic lens with a 5.5mm optic and an overall length of 10–11mm, depending on the IOL power. According to the manufacturer, the design of the Tek-Clear utilizes a ‘bending-beam’ approach intended to optimize the IOL movement as the ciliary muscle contracts and relaxes during the accommodating process. The lens has a square edge, which the company indicates is designed to reduce posterior capsule opacification in order to maintain capsular bag flexibility.

Clinical Results

As it is the only single-piece accommodative IOL approved in the US, the published clinical results on the Crystalens IOL are more extensive than the other available lenses. For the purposes of this article, we will provide a brief review of the most recently available clinical data for each lens.

Crystalens AO (Bausch + Lomb)

This is the fifth generation of this silicone, one-piece accommodating IOL to be commercially available in the US and the rest of the world. The AO combines the clinically proven accommodating ability of the Crystalens with the aberration-neutral optic first available with the Soflex and Akreos IOL platforms. The design is similar to the Crystalens 5-0 with a 5mm optic and an overall length of 11.5mm (17–33D) and 12mm (10–16.75D).

The benefits of an aspheric optic include better vision quality relative to standard spherical IOLs as well as more predictable and repeatable refractive outcomes (see Figure 1).1,2 In addition, because the AO has consistent power across the entire surface of the optic, the optical performance of the Crystalens is generally unaffected by pupil size, mild tilt, or decentration of the optic. This makes the AO more forgiving, particularly when compared with the previous version of the Crystalens, the HD.

The Tetraflex (LensTec)

This hydrophilic acrylic lens has a 5.5mm optic with an overall length of 11.5mm and is available in 0.2D steps. The Tetraflex is available outside the US and is currently undergoing review by the FDA for pre-market approval. The lens has a 5º anterior vault that is designed to enhance movement during ciliary muscle contraction.

Akkommodative 1-CU (Human Optics)

This one-piece accommodating IOL is a hydrophilic acrylic with an optic of 5.5mm and an overall diameter of 9.8mm. There is little information regarding the proposed mechanism of action of this IOL, although a 2006 article indicates a similar concept to that of the Crystalens: forward movement of the IOL as the ciliary muscles contract.4

Tek-Clear Accommodative Intraocular Lens (Tekia)

This one-piece hydrophilic acrylic lens has a 5.5mm optic with an overall length of 10–11mm, depending on the IOL power. According to the manufacturer, the design of the Tek-Clear utilizes a ‘bending-beam’ approach intended to optimize the IOL movement as the ciliary muscle contracts and relaxes during the accommodating process. The lens has a square edge, which the company indicates is designed to reduce posterior capsule opacification in order to maintain capsular bag flexibility.
The results found that there was no difference between the near and distance best-corrected visual acuity in all groups, while there was also no difference in the intermediate visual acuities in groups 2, 3, and 4 (p<0.05). The number of patients with better stereoscopic function, spectacle independence, and complaints of halo in groups 3 and 4 was significantly higher than in other groups (p<0.05). Based on these results, the authors concluded that the multifocal IOl patients had better visual function compared with the accommodative IOl (Akkommodative 1-CU) and monofocal IOl groups.

The Importance of Quality of Vision

With ever-increasing numbers of cataract patients opting for presbyopia-correcting IOls, more scrutiny will be placed on the visual outcomes and quality of vision provided by these lenses. As mentioned in this article’s introduction, the visual trade-offs experienced by patients implanted with multifocal IOls have been well documented. 2 On the other hand, none of the matters, such as glare, halos, or reduced contrast sensitivity, have been seen in patients implanted with accommodative IOls. This is attributable to the moving single point of focus in these lenses. Or, in the case of the Crystalens AO, an aberration-neutral, aspheric optic. This design should provide better quality of vision, with no reduction in contrast sensitivity following surgery.11

The Crystalens AO is designed so that the aspheric anterior and posterior surfaces create no spherical aberration. In addition, due to the aberration neutrality of the IOl, this is suitable for all patient types; and, whereas visual performance of multifocal IOls can be compromised by optical misalignment or pupil decentration, the performance of the Crystalens AO is not impacted by less-than-optimal conditions. What this also means is that when patients are implanted with this single-optic accommodating IOl, 100% of available light rays are available at all distances—so patients see near, intermediate, and at distance with equal clarity as the IOl moves forward and changes its radius of curvature as a patient accommodates at near or performs a visual task at a greater distance.

When the performance of a single-optic accommodative IOl is compared with multifocal IOls, the advantages of this approach for correcting presbyopia become clear. In a study of modulation transfer function (MTF) using a 3mm aperture, the Crystalens AO demonstrated improved MTF performance over two multifocal lenses (AcrySof ReSTOR® and ReSTOR® Aspheric). In a separate study, using Air Force target photos to compare quality of vision also demonstrated better quality of vision with this accommodative IOl compared with two types of multifocal IOls (ReSTOR and Tecnis) (see Figure 2).

The use of these two tests—modular transfer function and the Air Force target tests—raises other points that are important when discussing any type of presbyopia-correcting IOl: ensuring a quality visual outcome using careful pre-operative planning, as well as in the post-operative assessment. In a recently published article, Pepose makes the point that the use of presbyopia-correcting IOls requires more than standard Snellen acuity assessment.12 Pre-operatively, this means careful biometry and IOL calculations, as well as assessing what Pepose calls the “total ocular characteristics” before operating. This includes corneal topography and careful slit-lamp examinations to identify any corneal irregularities.12 The pre-operative work should also ensure that any ocular surface disease is diagnosed and addressed prior to surgery. Lastly, if there is more than 0.75 diopters of astigmatism, then either limbal-relaxing incisions or astigmatic LASIK should be planned in order to ensure the best possible outcome.

After surgery, the use of low- and high-contrast visual acuity provides a more accurate picture of a patient’s vision and can better pinpoint any issues should a patient refract to 20/20, but express dissatisfaction with the visual result.

Our clinical experience with the Crystalens AO, as well as the earlier models of this single-optic accommodating IOl, has been positive due to the fact that we are able to reduce or eliminate the need for glasses, particularly for reading, following cataract surgery. The added benefit of providing patients with a good range of vision, without any visual trade-offs, is an important reason for encouraging use of these presbyopia-correcting IOls.